

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/05/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/913,578	11/06/2001	Yair Oren	20568-68741	4183	
46363 7.	46363 7590 10/05/2005			EXAMINER	
MOSER, PATTERSON & SHERIDAN, LLP/			WANG, QUAN ZHEN		
	HNOLOGIES, INC		1 mm 1 mm		
595 SHREWSBURY AVENUE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SHREWSBURY, NJ 07702			2633		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/913,578	OREN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Quan-Zhen Wang	2633	

Advisory Action	09/913,578 OREN ET AL.					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Quan-Zhen Wang	2633				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
 THE REPLY FILED <u>21 September 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THI		-				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing						
b) Mark The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN						
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 79 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	36(a) and the appropria	te extension fee			
characteristics of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.130(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Office	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th				
AMENDMENTS						
3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in belowed the contraction of the c	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);				
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mnliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		impliant / anonamone	(1 102 024).			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-16, 21-25.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	A b of a second and a detail and a filling a Al	- 4:				
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacr	iea.			
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered but	at does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
the same reasons given in 3-a. 2. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
13. Other:						

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amended claims 1 and 9 further include new limitations "a second optical block including a first device for converting a first downstream optical signal at a first frequency into a first electrical signal, a second device for demodulating from the first electrical signal first information modulated on the first optical signal, a third device for modulating on a second electrical signal second information, a forth device for converting the second information modulated on the second electrical signal into a second optical signal at the first frequency, a fifth device for providing a third optical signal at a second frequency, the third optical signal having third information modulated on it, a sixth device for mutliplexing the second and third optical signals and placing the mutiplexed second and third optical signals on the network as downstream optical signal". The newly added limitations have changed the scope of claims. Therefore, further search and consideration are needed. In addition, the newly added claim 25 also requires further search and consideration.

m R. Sedighian

PRIMARY EXAMINER